



## **Data Protection Good Practice Note**

### **The use of violent warning markers**

This guidance explains to those working with the public how best to manage the use of violent warning markers.

Employers have a duty of care to their staff to protect them in the workplace. Violent warning markers are a means of identifying and recording individuals who pose, or could possibly pose, a risk to the members of staff who come into contact with them. We understand that, in practice, a flagged piece of text is attached to an individual's file. These markers should be used very carefully and should contain the reasons for identifying individuals as being potentially violent. They are likely to record information relating to:

- the apparent mental stability of an individual; or
- any threatening actions, incidents or behaviour they have or are alleged to have committed.

This means personal data, and often sensitive personal data, will be included in a violent or potentially violent warning marker and so must comply with the Data Protection Act 1998 (the Act).

#### **Compliance with the Act - fairness**

The first data protection principle requires that the processing must be fair and lawful. This means that a decision to put a marker on an individual's file must be based on a specific incident or expression of clearly identifiable concern by a professional, rather than general opinions about that individual. The individual should pose a genuine risk and the decision should be based on objective and clearly defined criteria and in line with a clear and established policy and review procedure. The criteria should take into account the need to accurately record any incident.

For consistency, you should make sure a senior nominated person in the organisation is responsible for making these decisions. Decisions should be reviewed regularly. When making a decision this person should take into account:

- the nature of the threat;
- the degree of violence used or threatened; and
- whether or not the incident indicates a credible risk of violence to staff.

For the processing to be fair, you should normally inform individuals who have been identified as being potentially violent soon after you make the decision to add a marker to their record. It should be part of your procedure to write to the individual setting out why their behaviour was unacceptable and how this has led to the marker.

You should tell them:

- the nature of the threat or incident that led to the marker;
- that their records will show the marker;
- who you may pass this information to; and
- when you will remove the marker or review the decision to add the marker.

There may be extreme cases where you believe that informing the individual would in itself create a substantial risk of a violent reaction from them. For example, because of the nature of the incident or the risk to another individual. In these cases it may not be sensible to inform the individual as described earlier.

If this is the case, you must be able to show why you believe that by informing the individual of the marker there would be a substantial risk of further threatening behaviour.

You should make all decisions on a case-by-case basis and keep records.

### **Compliance with the Act - processing conditions**

The Act states that you should not process personal data unless you can meet one of the conditions in schedule 2 of the Act, and for sensitive personal data, one of the conditions in schedule 3.

As employers have a duty of care towards their staff, for example, under health and safety legislation, the appropriate schedule 2 condition to allow processing of information in markers is that processing is necessary to comply with any legal obligation imposed on the data controller (which in this case would be the employer). The appropriate schedule 3 condition is that processing is necessary to comply with any legal obligation imposed on the data controller in connection with employment.

### **The individual's rights**

The Act gives individuals the right to make a subject access request. In most circumstances, you should reveal the fact that there is a violent warning marker on the individual's record. Although, in most cases, you should already have informed the individual. However, you should make this decision on a case-by-case basis and consider any other individuals (third parties) that may be included in the information. For more information about this, please see our guidance 'Subject access requests involving other people's information'.

There may be rare cases where you will need to consider whether:

- revealing the existence of the marker;
- revealing the information in the marker; or
- what the individual may infer from the existence of the marker;

may actually cause serious harm to the physical or mental health or condition of that individual. In these cases, you must get specialist advice from health and data protection professionals. For some of these cases there may be relevant statutory instruments that modify the provisions in the Act that relate to the individual's rights (see note 1).

### **Requests from individuals to stop processing their personal information**

Section 10 of the Act gives individuals the right to require you to stop processing their personal information if this is likely to cause them substantial and unwarranted damage or distress. If an individual gives you a section 10 notice relating to a violent warning marker then you should be aware that you may ultimately have to justify creating the marker in court.

### **Passing the information to other organisations**

From a legal point of view, the appropriate schedule 3 condition for processing mentioned earlier will not cover disclosing the marker information to other organisations, as the condition relates to a legal obligation on the employer for their own staff, not other organisations' staff. However, where there is a good reason for providing the information to another organisation, for example, to alert them to the potential risk to their staff, this will be justified even though no Schedule 3 condition obviously applies. In these cases, our focus is on whether the processing is justified and not unfair.

The senior nominated person in the organisation should determine this on a case-by-case basis where there is a credible risk that an unlawful act, such as an assault, will occur. They should only provide the information to an individual of a similar level in the other organisation.

If you pass the information on to another organisation, you should inform the individual, unless that would be a serious risk to the person or another individual as described earlier. If you review the marker and decide to change or remove it, you should then inform the other organisations you previously sent the information to.

### **Retention**

The fifth data protection principle states that personal information should not be kept longer than necessary. You must make sure violent warning markers are removed when there is no longer a threat. This should be part of the standard review procedure. The retention period is likely to depend in part on:

- the original level or threat of violence;
- how long ago this was;
- the previous and subsequent behaviour of the individual; and

---

#### Note 1

SI 2000 No. 413 'The Data Protection (Subject Access Modification) (Health) Order 2000'  
SI 2005 No.467 'The Data Protection (Subject Access Modification) (Social Work) (Amendment) Order 2005'

V2.0

21.12.06

- whether or not an incident was likely to have been a 'one-off'. For example, where the individual was suffering an unusual amount of stress due to a particular set of circumstances.

## **Security**

All files containing an indication that an individual is potentially violent should be retained securely whether they are paper files or held on computer. You should also take steps to prevent unauthorised access to any information indicating that an individual has been violent.

## **Staff training**

Staff should be trained to use the system and procedures you have relating to violent warning markers. They should be aware of:

- their duty to report all violent or threatening incidents or professional expressions of concern about real or potential violence;
- the name of the person they should report the incidents to; and
- the senior nominated person who makes the decisions about markers.

## **More information**

If you need any more information about this or any other aspect of data protection, please contact us.

Phone: 08456 30 60 60 (Lo-call rate)  
01625 54 57 45 (National rate)

E-mail: please use the online enquiry form on our website

Website: [www.ico.gov.uk](http://www.ico.gov.uk)